


JTF-5



- Would be more useful if good bring in all analysts (from a security viewpoint)

- Primary use: Individuals in eastern Pacific, dynamics of organization (Pakistan, Afghanistan)

Aligned by SW-Asia, SE Asia re heroin.

[Also

- Al came out at briefed (w/ Mickey), reinvigorated interest.

- Think its a legitimate alternate source of information.

- Asked each lead analyst to structure requests for RV. Then evaluate inputs.

- Dropped earlier because no feedback mechanism had existed. Believed that frequently no response was received from program. Frequent interaction both between Rums & Al helped to keep program alive.

- Tasking - re people, objects (shyis),

Some kind of specific areas
Very specific targets

(2)

- PV reports fairly consistent in identifying the nature of the target, i.e., person or thing, but not always.
- After some testing, to develop confidence in process - would feed info into general intelligence.

SG1J

[] was aware of Soviet activities - not as skeptical as others, so needed to develop some confidence with his analysis.

- provided specifics in some instances re "associates", "activities".
- did not task field collection due to security ~~at~~ restrictions on program
- could not use info in real-time sense for operational purposes; because:
 - not timely enough
- best info when asking for historical info (in terms of accuracy), time sensitive requests not so good.
- used info to fill in blanks from other sources - try to sort out wheat from chaff in terms of re chug order with drug organizations

(3)

analysts to be ~~objective~~ told them
about program. [] told them
to evaluate info, ignore personal
beliefs and be objective

SG1J

SG1J

- [] did some of evaluations but
some about 6 people did evals -
All evals done by a specific
individual, not by a group.

- If got ^{into} corresponding of info to
RV data, would ask odd questions
of RV - but usually add info was
not corroborated.

- Cited a case of info provided by RV
on an individual in Pakistan was
corroborated as very accurate.

- Use info as an "alternative" source.
~~rely~~ relied on only when it was
only source of info

- did not task anything ^{as result of solo-RV info,} needs much
evidence of reliability to do these.

- all reports had some accurate info, e.g.
but seems obvious info (knowing the
source of the question) was
considered accurate. [] seemed

SG1J

to assume that RV knew who was doing talking
know that RV knew who was doing talking

- Data made contributions to "target packages" - but no real audit trail of value ^{or contribution} of the data. No attempt ever made to do a cost correlation of data provided versus other
- Would like to see program continued, more formalized.
- ~~#~~ Afraid that direct involvement of PR would ~~lose~~ lose their objectivity.
- To integrate into org they would have to be legitimized ^{in reputable} in some way otherwise they ~~would~~ would be made fun of, etc.
- Would be willing to pay for PR info in some source like, e.g.,

- would be willing to pay for PV info if had to give up ~~source~~ like, e.g.:

had to give up some
09/16/04 CA-RDP-96-00791 R000200190004-3
the wire line would be difficult to
... discussion above.

